

SENATOR LAMB: I don't have that information.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. If there would be a guaranteed loan program through the banks, the lender or the bank would determine who would be the recipient of the loan. Isn't that right? Now if you were a banker and you got Abraham Lincoln who has got a log cabin and he is in trouble...going...tailgating on Senator...I am asking you this, Senator Lamb, if you don't mind.

SENATOR LAMB: Oh, okay, okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And you got Senator Hannibal with a \$125,000 house that he is having trouble making payments on but he is making \$25,000 a year, which one would you try to salvage if you were going to deal with one of them and you can only deal with one or the other? Abraham Lincoln who is very honest, hard working, splitting rails, but there is no market for it and he has no income; or Senator Hannibal with a \$125,000 house that he is having trouble with but he has got \$25,000 a year coming in.

SENATOR SIECK: My problem, Senator Chambers, is that I am always trying to look ahead to see what kind of trap you are leading me into.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: After this question, I am not going to ask you anything else.

SENATOR LAMB: I see. Well, it looks like Senator Hannibal is also a hard working, honest individual and...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR LAMB: ...so I would guess that the banker would go with the \$125,000 house and Senator Hannibal.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That is what I think too. Thank you. I just wanted people to know that I am not introducing anything radical at all. So when we have this guaranteed loan program and you have got big operators who do have some kind of cash flow, even they are in trouble, they are the ones you would loan to under this program rather than these little farmers who are in such dire straits that you have got them on a life support system, they are comatose and all